

NASA Real Property, Facility Utilization, & Master Planning 2004 Workshop Survey Results

24 Responses, multiple written comments annotated below.

1	Poor
2	Fair
3	Good
4	Very Good
5	Excellent

Workshop Content	Votes				
	Scale	1	2	3	4
1. Quality of the overall Workshop	0	0	1	6	17
2. Scope of Information Presented	0	0	2	6	16
3. Usefulness of the Information	0	0	3	9	12
4. Quality of the Presentations	0	1	2	7	14
5. Overall Workshop Format Emphasis	0	0	1	4	19
6. Sufficient Time to Network and Share Ideas with Peers	0	0	1	4	19
7. Amount of Time dedicated to Specific Skill Enhancement	0	1	3	13	7
8. Value in helping Improve your Professional Effectiveness	0	0	2	9	13
9. Ability to contribute more effectively to your job	0	0	4	9	11
Venue Accommodations					
10. Hotel Room	0	2	5	8	6
11. Workshop Facilities	0	0	5	8	9
12. Hotel Staff / Service	0	1	1	6	14
13. Hotel Transportation & Accommodations	0	1	4	6	10
14. Workshop Food	0	0	0	4	17
15. Value for Price	0	2	2	5	13

Other	Votes				
	Scale	1	2	3	4
16. Web Page	0	3	3	3	13
17. Pre-Workshop Correspondence & Announcements	0	1	1	5	16
18. Local Area Information	0	1	1	6	16
19. Hospitality Suite	0	1	1	4	14
20. Combination of multiple functions (i.e. Real Property, Facility Utilization, Master Planning)	0	1	2	5	15

What did you like most about the workshop?

- Covered a broad spectrum of FUS and RPI topics. The hotel luncheons were excellent
- I enjoyed the GIS presentation most of all. It was very informative and it can be a very useful tool especially with IAM & other IFMP modules
- Networking
- Networking
- Excellent preparation and accommodations
- The variety of topics & speakers
- Chance to connect with peers, cross-pollinate w/experts & participate in interactive workshops
- Helped me get a bigger picture of NASA's facility goals & challenges. Helped give me new ideas. Helped me share/learn w/other centers
- The content was very well thought out and timely/relevant to the work everyone is doing. The conference attitude was friendly and open. As a new-comer I'm glad I'm now part of this group
- Good speakers, helpful information
- Real data provided upon which to substantiate policy directives
- Relaxation, humor, networking time, subjects, ability to contact your speaker to address the FRPA conference
- Interesting and informative speakers
- Networking/breakouts
- Variety of content; excellent speakers, opportunity to meet others from great variety of locations. Good Food too.
- The professionalism of fellow attendees
- Networking & meeting counterparts at other centers. I like when we have our meetings separate from the facilities engineering conference
- Workshop breakouts

What would you improve about the workshop?

- As always, when functions are combined, participants miss out on gaining or participating in a session. We need to not overlap the sessions. This way all areas can be attended & get maximum benefit
- Next workshop – more emphasis on IAM & integration to IFMP. Also talk change management.
- Maybe some lower level breakouts to get into the nuts & bolts like “how do I do this in the database” “performing physical inventories on facilities”, etc.

- Better email communications - \$25 workshop on web page w/more info to follow??
Never heard of the \$10.00, confusion about raffle items
- Resort itself is a bit long-in-the-tooth, a little shopworn. While being at Disney is a perk, it also adds to the costs...any pleasant, warm setting could serve our needs
- For the various topics, it would be great if time could be spent discussing problems centers are having and possible solutions that other centers might have for those problems (enhance cross center solutions).
- Better description of the breakout sessions
- Nothing
- Hard to sit in dimly lighted, "cold" room for long periods of time, knowing we are in warm, sunny Florida!
- More networking & small group discussions. Suggest more small group focused discussion groups
- More information about our day to day activities in Real Property & Space Utilization. All the information is great but seems every center is working on RP & SPUT differently & we need to discuss why we do things so different & learn from each other

Workshop Content

- Great Content – very helpful information
- Quality of presentations varied greatly - GSA involvement was helpful
- Again, as an outsider, the content was really interesting, timely, and informative
- Many of the GSA presentations seem to be out of date, too long, off-topic, or largely irrelevant to a NASA audience. GSA shows how far it has to go to change as it says it wants to. Need to minimize the BS factor...
- Topics were excellent. It was educational. Most of it was valuable knowledge that can be used to effectively enhance our responsibilities at our centers. Most of it is job related.

Venue Accommodations

- The location was perfect. However, the lighting in the conference rooms could be better. The maintenance team response time was excellent
- A bit run down
- The hotel accommodations were excellent! "lunches were well beyond expectations"
- Room was clean but more like a less expensive motel room (east wing) in that sound traveled from outside walkway into room and somewhat between rooms
- Very nice venue! Excellent Food! Great Location! Problems w/microphones cutting on and off
- This was a great location. I'm very thankful for all the hard work that went into planning this workshop. This has been one of the best.

Other

- This was a great location, but the conference rooms were not very well insulated, the other conferences were very disturbing during our sessions. Please pass on to the hotel they may want to sound soak these walls. Goddard still would like to host the conference.
- Breakouts pros & cons: allows more topics w/workshop type environment, can't go to everything – some missed opportunities
- I recommend that you have your employees attend the FRPA conference. NASA can contact our speakers & invite them to your workshops. Provide ample display tables, at the start. Couldn't hear all the questions, Solution: 1. Microphone, 2. Stand up when asking

- Thanks to the many folks who have spent time and energy to put the conference together!
- I commend KSC for planning such a wonderful workshop – excellent. I know there's a lot of work that went into arranging it. Hope the next location will be as good. Please have next conference in Santa Barbara. A note of Interest: Called in a maintenance call for my room at lunch time. The maintenance engineer was very prompt. This was a call to repair the night latch in my room. The unit on the bottom of the latch was broken. He did the quick fix (which incidentally did not work. It fell a part as soon as he left) and informed me that I can just call it in again if it broke and he'll return and drill it. This is a perfect example of "do it right the first time". Just thought I'd mention it.
- Believe conference would be more meaningful if it wasn't combined and sometimes you feel your need to be in two places at once. Also some of the presentations are not applicable and it makes for a long day
- Hotel Room marginal in wings of hotel. Had to move once due to bad smell. Hotel staff was accommodating
- The webpage was unavailable the day before the conference (2/9)
- Out of your hands – Orlando is expensive